

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/509,326	03/24/2000	Min Ho Cha	5387-3	1618
20575 75	590 05/16/2006		EXAMINER	
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C.			DASS, HARISH T	
210 SW MORRISON STREET, SUITE 400 PORTLAND, OR 97204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3628	<u> </u>
		DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination			
	09/509,326	CHA, MIN_HO			
		Art Unit			
	Harish T. Dass	3628			
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC					
Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review					
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Bri	ef Request for Review filed 4/7	<u>7/2006</u> .			
 Improper Request – The Req reason(s): 	uest is improper and a confere	ence will not be held for the following			
The request does not include	ot been filed concurrent with the e reasons why a review is appr ncluded with the Pre-Appeal Br	opriate.			
The time period for filing a response the mail date of the last Office comm		ipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from al has been received.			
held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month from the receipt of the notice.	appeal because there is at lea in accordance with 37 CFR 41 om mailing this decision, or the e of appeal, whichever is greate CFR 1.136 based upon the mai	A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been st one actual issue for appeal. Applicant .37. The time period for filing an appeal balance of the two-month time period er. Further, the time period for filing of the I date of this decision or the receipt date			
☐ The panel has determined to Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 25-37. Claim(s) withdrawn from considerations.		follows:			
3. Allowable application – A con Allowance will be mailed. Prosecutio applicant at this time.	nference has been held. The re n on the merits remains closed	ejection is withdrawn and a Notice of d. No further action is required by			
4. Reopen Prosecution – A confaction will be mailed. No further action		ection is withdrawn and a new Office his time.			
All participants:					

(3)Frantzy Poinvil.

(4)____.

(1) Hyung S. Sough.

(2) Harish T Dass. HTD